



PCT/GB 2003 / 0 0 2 9



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

PRIORITY DOCUMENT

SUBMITTED OR TRANSMITTED IN
COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 17.1(a) OR (b)

The Patent Office
Concept House

Cardiff Road

Newport REC'D 23 SEP 200

South Wales

NP10 80WPO


PCT

I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.



Signed

P. Mahoney

Dated

14 AUG 2003



Request for grant of a patent

(See the notes on the back of this form. You can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form)

The Patent Office

Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales
NP9 1RH

1. Your reference

CPW/CRT/20730

2. Patent application number

(The Patent Office will fill in this part)

0215905.1

09 JUL 2002

3. Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant (underline all surnames)

8383424001

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation

SSL International

Research & Development, Unit 205
Cambridge Science Park
Milton Road
Cambridge CB4 4GZ
United Kingdom

United Kingdom

4. Title of the invention

Finishing Powders

5. Name of your agent (if you have one)

"Address for service" in the United Kingdom to which all correspondence should be sent (including the postcode)

A A THORNTON & CO

235 HIGH HOLBORN
LONDON WC1V 7LE

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

0000075001 ✓

6. If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or of each of these earlier applications and (if you know it) the or each application number

Country

Priority application number
(if you know it)

Date of filing
(day / month / year)

7. If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date of the earlier application

Number of earlier application

Date of filing
(day / month / year)

8. Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? (Answer 'Yes' if:

Yes

- a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or
 - b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or
 - c) any named applicant is a corporate body.
- See note (d))

Patents Form 1/77

9. Enter the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form. Do not count copies of the same document

Continuation sheets of this form

Description 7

Claim(s) --

Abstract --

Drawing(s) 1 + 1

CE

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item.

Priority documents --

Translations of priority documents --

Statement of inventorship and right to grant of a patent (Patents Form 7/77) 1

Request for preliminary examination and search (Patents Form 9/77) --

Request for substantive examination (Patents Form 10/77) --

Any other documents (please specify) --

11. I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

Signature

Date

8th July 2002

12. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom

Mr C. P. Wain - 01604 638242

Warning

After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been revoked.

Notes

- If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 0645 500505.*
- Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.*
- If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.*
- If you have answered 'Yes' Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.*
- Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.*
- For details of the fee and ways to pay please contact the Patent Office.*

FINISHING POWDERS

This invention relates to finishing powders, particularly, but not exclusively, to finishing powders for condoms.

It is well known to use finishing powders in the manufacture and production of both natural and synthetic rubber articles such as gloves and condoms. In the case of condoms, the finishing powder fulfils a number of functions, including the reduction of tack inherent on the untreated natural rubber surface and the provision of a low friction surface in order to facilitate transport of the condom on to mandrels and such like during automated processing. The finishing powder also enables a low friction surface to be maintained when the condom is in a rolled state to allow the condom to be handled easily by a foiling machine during packaging. Finally, in the case of condoms, the finishing powder allows the condom to be unrolled easily by the user.

A number of different finishing powders have been used for condoms in the past, including talc, mica, lycopodium and cross-linked maize starch. Owing to adverse clinical reports about mica, talc and lycopodium, one of the most commonly used finishing powders now is cross-linked maize starch. This has many advantages as a finishing powder since it is available in a high state of purity, it is well tolerated by the body, it is bio-absorbable and it confers a low friction surface. However, more recently cross-linked maize starch has been shown to have a number of problems. For example, its bio-absorbable nature means that when used in aqueous slurries it is

prone to microbial attack. To deal with this it is generally necessary to add biocides to the aqueous formulation, and these present a toxicity risk. There have also been reports that proteins present in, for example, natural rubber medical gloves can bind to cross-linked maize starch and thus possibly give an airborne route of exposure to the proteins, which are known to cause sensitisation problems in some users. (Refer, for example, to Beezhold, D.H. and Beck, W.C. Archives of Surgery (1992), 127, 1354-1357.)

As a consequence of the above disadvantages, it has been recognised that there is a need to move away from starch to more advantageous finishing powders. One alternative has been to use inorganic powders such as calcium and magnesium carbonate. These compounds present advantages over cross-linked maize starch in that they have a good safety record, they are generally not susceptible to microbial spoilage and they do not bind the proteins found in natural rubber latex. On the whole, therefore, the industry has found it acceptable to use such compounds as satisfactory alternatives to starch.

However, we have found certain problems with inorganic carbonate finishing powders, which problems have hitherto not been recognised or appreciated. One problem is that the carbonates used up till now have been produced by standard manufacturing processes and these carbonates have an irregular or spicula particle morphology. As a consequence, they do not reduce friction anything like as well as starch. In particular, we have found that these standard carbonates, particularly magnesium carbonate, can give rise to problems during rolling and unrolling of a condom. Typically, when a condom is rolled off a shaped mandrel to give the familiar rolled condom, the rolling is applied from one side only. The action of rolling applies a localized strain to the side of the condom contacting the rolling belt or wheel, and if this strain is not dissipated within the condom, then one side of the condom can be more tightly rolled than the other. In addition, any misalignment (perhaps of only a few thousandths of an inch) can exacerbate this problem. Such a discrepancy of strain within the condom can give rise to difficulties when the user tries to unroll the condom. Cross-linked maize starch is very efficient at releasing these strains because of the low degree of friction it confers to the surfaces of the

condom both during and after rolling. By contrast, however, we have found that when standard magnesium carbonate is used any differential strains created by the rolling are not released, but are retained within the rolled structure, giving rise to complaints of difficult unrolling by the condom user. Another problem we have discovered in using standard magnesium carbonate as a condom finishing powder is that the powder can impede migration of condom lubricant within the rolled condom structure. Typically lubricant is applied to a rolled condom just before packaging and it migrates along the rolled condom film whilst inside the foil pack. The inhibition of migration of lubricant appears to arise because of affinity between standard magnesium carbonate and the lubricant typically used for condoms. The lubricant appears to become "bound" to the standard magnesium carbonate, forming a stiff paste which effectively seals off the remainder of the condom body, preventing the rest of the lubricant from migrating down the condom body. We have found that 1g of standard magnesium carbonate can bind up to 4.4g of lubricant. The resulting absence of lubricant towards the closed end of the condom can again add to the difficulty in unrolling the condom, and generate user complaints about "dry" condoms.

Having appreciated the above problems, we have now found a way of overcoming or substantially reducing them.

According to one aspect of the present invention, there is provided the use of a particulate, substantially water-insoluble, inorganic compound having substantially spherical particle morphology as a condom finishing powder.

The invention also includes a condom having thereon a finishing powder which is a particulate, substantially water-insoluble, inorganic compound having substantially spherical particle morphology.

By substantially spherical we mean particles having a circularity factor (c.f.) (as defined herein) less than that of standard grade magnesium carbonate. Preferably, the c.f. value is 15 or below. More preferably, the c.f. value is 14 or below.

By substantially water-insoluble we mean a compound having a solubility of less than about 0.05g per 100g of water. For example, magnesium carbonate has a solubility of 0.03-0.04g/100g cold water, while calcium carbonate has

a solubility of 0.0015-0.002g/100g water. Suitably spherical forms of either of these materials can, for example, be employed.

In another aspect, the invention provides the use of spray dried magnesium carbonate as a condom finishing powder and includes a condom having thereon a finishing powder which is spray dried magnesium carbonate.

We have found that the use of a substantially water-insoluble inorganic compound having substantially spherical particle morphology, as a condom finishing powder substantially eliminates the disadvantages associated with starch and standard inorganic carbonate powders whilst retaining the advantageous qualities of each. Preferably, the compound is a salt. It is particularly preferred to use magnesium carbonate having substantially spherical particle morphology (hereinafter referred to as "spherical magnesium carbonate"). An especially preferred form of this material is "spherical basic magnesium carbonate", which is a mixture of magnesium carbonate and hydrated magnesium hydroxide. This compound can be described approximately by the formula $5\text{MgO} \cdot 4\text{CO}_2 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ or $(\text{MgCO}_3)_4\text{Mg}(\text{OH})_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$. We have found that these compounds give excellent results even when a condom is rolled from one side only. The unrolling of such condoms by the user is easier than with standard magnesium carbonate, and they do not impede the migration of lubricant within the rolled condom.

Thus, in a further aspect, the invention provides a process for rolling a condom with finishing powder thereon from an unrolled state to a rolled state or vice versa which process comprises rolling the condom by applying suitable rolling means to one side of the condom only, characterised in that the said finishing powder is a substantially water-insoluble, inorganic compound having substantially spherical particle morphology.

We prefer to use magnesium carbonate which has been spray dried, since good particle sphericity is obtained in this way. However, other suitable methods of manufacture can be used provided that the end result ensures that a substantial number of the particles are of a broadly spherical nature. One suitable process for producing spray-dried, spherical material is the Aman process (refer, for example, to <http://www.periclase.com> for further details), which converts a

concentrated magnesium chloride brine to magnesium carbonate via thermal decomposition of the brine in a spray roaster. One particularly preferred product is heavy CP14 MgCO_3 which is a spray dried product (as supplied, for example, in pharmaceutical quality, by Lehvoss, UK). This product is equivalent to "spherical basic magnesium carbonate" (see above), particles of which are shown in the photomicrograph of Figure 1. The sphericity of the particles gives a powder having excellent low friction properties.

By "standard magnesium carbonate" we mean pharmaceutical grade light magnesium carbonate. This material is normally produced by the Pattison process (refer, for example, to British patent specification no. 9102 to H. L. Pattison), which converts dolomite (a mixed magnesium/calcium carbonate) into calcium carbonate and either magnesium oxide or magnesium carbonate. Other processes, as will be clear to those skilled in the art, are, however, also used in the manufacture of standard magnesium carbonate. A photomicrograph of particles of standard magnesium carbonate made according to the Pattison process is shown in Figure 2. It can readily be seen that this material does not possess good sphericity. Similar results are obtained with material made according to other conventionally used processes for making pharmaceutical grade light magnesium carbonate.

There are various approaches to measuring sphericity or degree of roundness. One is based upon:

$$\text{Circularity factor (c.f.)} = \frac{p^2}{A}$$

where p = perimeter of 2-D image of particle

A = projected area (i.e. area of 2-D image of particle)

This definition (as with others) is based upon 2-D projections or images of 3-D particles (as viewed under a microscope, for example) and hence is relatively easy to calculate. A perfect sphere has a circularity factor of 4π or 12.57. Obviously, for non-perfect 3-D particles a number of measurements will have to be made to generate an average. This can be done with a reasonable degree of accuracy using

images of particles in micrographs, or using images as viewed directly with a microscope.

We prefer to use particles whose circularity factor (as measured in the above way) approaches, or comes close to, that of a perfect sphere. For standard magnesium carbonate (made according to the Pattison process, and which gives inferior performance), we have obtained a c.f. value of 16.7. Better performance is achieved with particles having a value less than this, and so we prefer to use such particles. For example, our preferred spherical basic magnesium carbonate (CP14, above) has been found to have a c.f. value of about 13.6.

In terms of particle size distribution, our preferred spherical basic magnesium carbonate powder (CP14, above) contrasts with standard (or light grade) magnesium carbonate as follows:

Powder	Lower 10%	Median	Upper 90%
Spherical basic	4 μ m	11 μ m	26 μ m
MgCO ₃	3 μ m	10 μ m	21 μ m
Light grade MgCO ₃	2 μ m	8 μ m	26 μ m

The above data show the proportion of particles [volume %] in terms of the diameter below which 10% of the particles lie, the median value, and the value below which 90% of the particles lie. The data for the spherical grade show fewer smaller particles than the light grade.

The finishing powders employed in the present invention can be used in the normal way, as will be well understood by those skilled in the art. Thus, in general, a condom-shaped former will be dipped in, for example, natural rubber latex so as to create a rubber film on the former. After drying, the condom is stripped off the former and washed in an aqueous dispersion of the finishing powder. After drying, the condom is transferred to the electronic testing mandrel. The condom is then rolled up the mandrel in order to produce a rolled condom by applying rolling means, typically a rolling belt, to one side of the condom. The rolled condom is then removed and packaged together with lubricant in the usual way.

Whilst the present finishing powders are particularly suitable for use in a process in which the condom is rolled up a mandrel on one side only, they can also be used with excellent results in condom rolling processes generally, owing to their advantages over the finishing powders used heretofore.

The present finishing powders can also be mixed with known finishing powders - for example, a mixture of spherical or spherical basic magnesium carbonate with calcium carbonate, provided that the advantages afforded by the powders of the invention are not diminished to any significant degree. When such mixtures are used, we prefer the powder of the invention to be present in an amount of at least 40% by weight of the total powder composition.




Figure 1: Spherical basic magnesium carbonate




Figure 2: Standard basic magnesium carbonate

THE PATENT OFFICE
14 AUG 2003
Received in Patents
International Unit